



FIG. 2

Degite de le com

Davis Foulger, et al. METHOD OF BACKTRACING NETWORK PERFORMANCE 09/911,216








OSSIIS, OSIECE






5/11

FIG. 5

File Edit View Help	(b)	Latency User	Query Admin	 	Average Latency Max Latency	Max Latency
		# CIIII BIOCKS	175	1 1	186	
10:30am 10:40am 10:40a	New York City	12	152		247	
	Chicago	7	2 88		374	
Network Summary	Atlanta	2 2	106		259	
Volume: xxx nequests	Boston	2 0	84		380	
Minimum: xxx Seconds	Dallas	α	78		494	
Average: xxx Seconds	Austin	0 4	29		382	
Maximum: xxx Seconds	Seattle	0 8	45		682	
Link Performance	Condoli	9 6	54		340	
Minimum: xxx Seconds	Miami	9	32		1351	
rerage: xxx Seconds	OKYO	L L	47		341	-
Maximum: xxx Seconds	Los Angeles	2 4	63		2542	
Hot Spots:	Hong Kong	2 4	35		231	
Slowest Link:	_		52		632	
hhh.iii.jij.kkk: nnnn ms		0	25 25		2374	
t Performing Route:		4	CV CV		296	
Ihh.iii.jjj.kkk: nnnn ms	Tampa	4	74		342	
st User Performance:	Philadelphia	8	17 36		213	
hhh.iii.jjj.kkk: nnnn ms	St Louis	3	00 88		879	
Highest Volume User:	Rome	3	3 8		754	
hhh.iii.jjj.kkk: nnnn ms	Tel Aviv	3	87		311	
	Memphis	2	74		272	
ail/ Icoidagractor		2	13	_		
Geographical View	\ \ _	vela loo			dop	













FIG. 7

8/11



DÇULIZIB...CGISOZ






FIG. 9






FIG. 10








FIG. 11